

City of Edgewater, Florida

Purchasing Division

Pat Drosten, Purchasing Specialist 104 North Riverside Drive, Edgewater, FL 32132

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. RFP 24-ES-13

Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)/Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS)

RESPONSE DEADLINE: October 2, 2024 at 2:00 pm Report Generated: Wednesday, October 16, 2024

PHASE 1

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Total Score (Max Score 100)
zLink Inc.	99	81	99	93
CentralSquare Technologies	93	88	95	92
Hydro-Comp Enterprises USA LLC	98	70	98	88.67
Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc.	84	84	97	88.33
Univerus	96	66	100	87.33
OpenGov, Inc.	97	69	90	85.33
Data Transfer Solutions, LLC	84	73	87	81.33

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Firm Qualifications (10 pages max.) Points Based 15 Points (15%)	Project Understanding (4 pages max.) Points Based 15 Points (15%)	Functional Requirements and System Capabilities (20 pages max.) Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Technology and Capabilities (15 pages max.) Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Cost Proposal Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
zLink Inc.	14	14.3	26.7	18.3	19.7	93
CentralSquare Technologies	13.7	14.3	29.3	19	15.7	92
Hydro-Comp Enterprises USA LLC	13.3	13.3	28.3	17.7	16	88.67
Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc.	14.3	14	30	19	11	88.33
Univerus	12.3	13.3	26.7	16	19	87.33
OpenGov, Inc.	13.3	13.3	25	18.3	15.3	85.33
Data Transfer Solutions, LLC	14.3	13	28.3	17.3	8.3	81.33

Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)/Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Firm Qualifications (10 pages max.)	Points Based	15 (15% of Total)

Description:

The firm has sufficient experience, organizational structure, financial stability, and capital resources to sustain and maintain the software product(s) for at least a ten (10) year life cycle and effectively implement it for public works-related infrastructure, such as roads, stormwater, as well as water and wastewater utilities. Having the staff and resources available for software maintenance, development of a product roadmap, support, and training is essential.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Understanding (4 pages max.)	Points Based	15 (15% of Total)

Description:

The firm's experience and the capability of its project team in providing, installing, and executing CMAMS software solutions. The availability of the firm's staff who are proposed to carry out the installation, training, project management, and support. The firm's demonstrated understanding of public works, water and wastewater utilities maintenance activities, and maintenance systems. The description of workflow, schedules, and management processes for controlling the schedule. Additionally, a general overview of the software and associated training methods for data collection, data entry, database maintenance, and updates.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Functional Requirements and System Capabilities (20 pages max.)	Points Based	30 <i>(30% of Total)</i>

Description:

The proposed system should have capabilities to meet the outlined requirements in the scope of work for work activities, assets, and inventory management for public works infrastructure, as well as water and wastewater utilities. It should provide a clear presentation of all the requested requirements with examples and clarification of how the system would work. The proposal should demonstrate a complete understanding of meeting the various functional requirements and show how past system implementations have worked concerning this scope.

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request for Proposal - Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)/Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS) Page 3

Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)/Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS)

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Technology and Capabilities (15 pages max.)	Points Based	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

System capabilities that will minimize long-term costs, optimize data gathering and downloading methods, and improve communications. The software must demonstrate the capability to provide the required functional, technical, and reporting capabilities as defined in the RFP. Equally important is its ease of use, allowing City staff to operate and utilize the system efficiently.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Cost Proposal	Points Based	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

The total cost for the proposed software solution including implementation, support and on-going maintenance of the system.