

City of Edgewater, Florida **Purchasing Division** Pat Drosten, Purchasing Specialist 104 North Riverside Drive, Edgewater, FL 32132

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. RFP 24-ES-06

FEMA Technical Assistance Consultant Services

RESPONSE DEADLINE: June 12, 2024 at 10:00 am

Tuesday, July 2, 2024

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Tetra Tech, Inc.	98	88	79	88.33
GrantWorks, Inc.	99	86	77	87.33
DCMC Partners	90	90	80	86.67
iParametrics, LLC	93	86	75	84.67
Anser Advisory Consulting, LLC	91	83	73	82.33
Integrated Solutions Consulting	86	83	77	82
Rostan Solutions LLC	88	85	72	81.67
Thomas Howell Ferguson	89	81	66	78.67
Disaster Recovery Services, LLC	84	73	75	77.33
The Integrity Group	85	75	70	76.67
Insight Planning and Development	82	70	64	72
Indelible Emergency Management LLC	83	73	58	71.33

CONSENSUS SCORECARD SUMMARY

Vendor	Previous relevant and successful experience and qualifications Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Proposed methodology Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Management plan for the project Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Understanding the project Points Based 15 Points (15%)
Anser Advisory Consulting, LLC	17.33	17.33	17.33	14
DCMC Partners	18	18.67	18	14.33
Disaster Recovery Services, LLC	17.67	16.67	13.33	14.33
GrantWorks, Inc.	18.67	17.67	18.67	14.67
Indelible Emergency Management LLC	13	15.33	15.33	13
Insight Planning and Development	16.67	13.67	13	13
Integrated Solutions Consulting	17.67	16.67	16.33	14.33
iParametrics, LLC	17.67	18.33	17	14.33
Rostan Solutions LLC	16.67	17.33	16.33	14
Tetra Tech, Inc.	19	18	18.33	14.67
The Integrity Group	13.67	15.33	16.67	13.67
Thomas Howell Ferguson	17.67	17	14.33	13

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Previous relevant and successful experience and qualifications	Points Based	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

Evaluation criteria for previous relevant and successful experiences and qualifications may include assessing the firm's demonstrated skills, achievements, and impact in similar projects, as well as their level of expertise, certifications, and educational background relevant to the project.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Proposed methodology	Points Based	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

Evaluation of proposed methodology typically involves assessing its clarity, feasibility, and alignment with project objectives, as well as considering the firm's innovative approaches, addressing potential risks, and scalability to ensure effective execution and desired outcomes.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Management plan for the project	Points Based	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

Evaluation criteria for a project's management plan may include assessing the clarity and feasibility of the proposed organizational structure, allocation of resources, risk management strategies, communication protocols, and mechanisms for monitoring and adapting to changes, ensuring effective leadership and coordination throughout a project lifecycle.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Understanding the project	Points Based	15 (15% of Total)

Description:

Evaluation criteria for understanding the project may involve assessing the firm's grasp of the project's goals, objectives, scope, and potential challenges, as well as their ability to articulate a comprehensive strategy for achieving success and addressing relevant issues.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Prior experience with comparable entities	Points Based	10 (10% of Total)

Description:

Evaluation criteria for prior experience with comparable entities may include assessing the firm's familiarity with the industry or sector, their track record of successfully working with similar organizations, and their ability to leverage past relationships and insights to benefit of future projects or collaboration.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Cost effectiveness	Points Based	15 (<i>15% of Total</i>)

Description:

Evaluation criteria for cost-effectiveness may involve assessing the firm's ability to deliver high-quality results within budget constraints, its track record of optimizing resource utilization, and its proposed strategies for minimizing expenses while maximizing the value and impact of future projects or initiatives.