Notice of Recommendation

RFP 24-ES-13 - Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)-Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS)

Date: October 21, 2924

SUMMARY:

On August 21, 2024, the City of Edgewater issued a Request for Proposals (RFP 24-ES-13) with the sole purpose and intent of obtaining proposals from interested and qualified firms offering to provide Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)-Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS) in accordance with the specifications stated.

This Solicitation was broadcast via the City's E-Procurement Platform, as well as via DemandStar, and the State of Florida DMS, Office of Supplier Diversity site and was posted in City Hall.

On October 2, submittals were received from 7 companies and all were deemed responsive and responsible.

On October 16, 2024, the Evaluation Committee met and reviewed the submittals and turned in their evaluation sheets through the E-Procurement Platform (evaluation tabulations and pricing tables for the initial 5-year period are attached). Based on the published evaluation criteria, the firms were ranked as follows:

| Rank | Firm |
|------|---------------------------------|
| 1 | zLink, Inc. |
| 2 | Central Square Technologies |
| 3 | Hydro-Comp Enterprises USA, LLC |
| 4 | Jones Edmunds & Associates |
| 5 | Univerus |
| 6 | OpenGov, Inc |
| 7 | Data Transfer Solutions |
| | |

The Committee discussed the submittals and expressed some concerns regarding long term costs and budgeting concerns. The Project Manager asked for the committed to reschedule another meeting after he has had time to review budgeting issues.

The Evaluation Committee reconvened on October 18, at 1:00pm, to determine the best steps moving forward and the consensus was that due to long term funding concerns as well as other factors, it would be in the best interest of the City to recommend that Council withdraw the solicitation until staff has been able to reevaluate it's needs and funding capabilities.

RECOMMENDED ACTION:

Motion to withdraw the Solicitation, RFP 24-ES-13 and instruct staff to revaluate needs and funding options.



City of Edgewater, Florida

Purchasing Division

Pat Drosten, Purchasing Specialist 104 North Riverside Drive, Edgewater, FL 32132

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFP No. RFP 24-ES-13

Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)/Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS)

RESPONSE DEADLINE: October 2, 2024 at 2:00 pm Report Generated: Wednesday, October 16, 2024

PHASE 1

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

| Vendor | Evaluator 1 | Evaluator 2 | Evaluator 3 | Total Score (Max Score 100) |
|-----------------------------------|-------------|-------------|-------------|--------------------------------|
| zLink Inc. | 99 | 81 | 99 | 93 |
| CentralSquare Technologies | 93 | 88 | 95 | 92 |
| Hydro-Comp Enterprises USA LLC | 98 | 70 | 98 | 88.67 |
| Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc. | 84 | 84 | 97 | 88.33 |
| Univerus | 96 | 66 | 100 | 87.33 |
| OpenGov, Inc. | 97 | 69 | 90 | 85.33 |
| Data Transfer Solutions, LLC | 84 | 73 | 87 | 81.33 |

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

| Vendor | Firm Qualifications (10 pages max.) Points Based 15 Points (15%) | Project Understanding (4 pages max.) Points Based 15 Points (15%) | Functional Requirements and System Capabilities (20 pages max.) Points Based 30 Points (30%) | Technology and Capabilities (15 pages max.) Points Based 20 Points (20%) | Cost Proposal Points Based 20 Points (20%) | Total Score (Max Score 100) |
|-----------------------------------|---|---|--|--|--|--------------------------------|
| zLink Inc. | 14 | 14.3 | 26.7 | 18.3 | 19.7 | 93 |
| CentralSquare Technologies | 13.7 | 14.3 | 29.3 | 19 | 15.7 | 92 |
| Hydro-Comp Enterprises USA LLC | 13.3 | 13.3 | 28.3 | 17.7 | 16 | 88.67 |
| Jones Edmunds & Associates, Inc. | 14.3 | 14 | 30 | 19 | 11 | 88.33 |
| Univerus | 12.3 | 13.3 | 26.7 | 16 | 19 | 87.33 |
| OpenGov, Inc. 13.3 | | 13.3 | 25 | 18.3 | 15.3 | 85.33 |
| Data Transfer Solutions, LLC | 14.3 | 13 | 28.3 | 17.3 | 8.3 | 81.33 |

Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)/Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS)

EVALUATION CRITERIA

| Criteria | Scoring Method | Weight (Points) | | |
|-------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Firm Qualifications (10 pages max.) | Points Based | 15 (15% of Total) | | |

Description:

The firm has sufficient experience, organizational structure, financial stability, and capital resources to sustain and maintain the software product(s) for at least a ten (10) year life cycle and effectively implement it for public works-related infrastructure, such as roads, stormwater, as well as water and wastewater utilities. Having the staff and resources available for software maintenance, development of a product roadmap, support, and training is essential.

| Criteria | Scoring Method | Weight (Points) | | |
|--------------------------------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Project Understanding (4 pages max.) | Points Based | 15 (15% of Total) | | |

Description:

The firm's experience and the capability of its project team in providing, installing, and executing CMAMS software solutions. The availability of the firm's staff who are proposed to carry out the installation, training, project management, and support. The firm's demonstrated understanding of public works, water and wastewater utilities maintenance activities, and maintenance systems. The description of workflow, schedules, and management processes for controlling the schedule. Additionally, a general overview of the software and associated training methods for data collection, data entry, database maintenance, and updates.

| Criteria | Scoring Method | Weight (Points) |
|---|----------------|--------------------------|
| Functional Requirements and System Capabilities (20 pages max.) | Points Based | 30 <i>(30% of Total)</i> |

Description:

The proposed system should have capabilities to meet the outlined requirements in the scope of work for work activities, assets, and inventory management for public works infrastructure, as well as water and wastewater utilities. It should provide a clear presentation of all the requested requirements with examples and clarification of how the system would work. The proposal should demonstrate a complete understanding of meeting the various functional requirements and show how past system implementations have worked concerning this scope.

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request for Proposal - Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)/Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS)

Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)/Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS)

| Criteria | Scoring Method | Weight (Points) |
|---|----------------|-------------------|
| Technology and Capabilities (15 pages max.) | Points Based | 20 (20% of Total) |

Description:

System capabilities that will minimize long-term costs, optimize data gathering and downloading methods, and improve communications. The software must demonstrate the capability to provide the required functional, technical, and reporting capabilities as defined in the RFP. Equally important is its ease of use, allowing City staff to operate and utilize the system efficiently.

| Criteria | Scoring Method | Weight (Points) | | |
|---------------|----------------|-------------------|--|--|
| Cost Proposal | Points Based | 20 (20% of Total) | | |

Description:

The total cost for the proposed software solution including implementation, support and on-going maintenance of the system.

RFP 24-ES-13 - Computerized Asset Management System (CAMS)-Computerized Maintenance Management System (CMMS) - Submitted Pricing Tables - Initial 5 year period.

TABLE 1

| | | | | | IADELI | | | | | |
|------|---------------------------------------|----------|------------|---------------|----------------|-----------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | | | | | Hydro-Comp | Jones Edmunds | | | |
| | | | | CentralSquare | Data Transfer | Enterprises USA | & Associates, | | | |
| | | | | Technologies | Solutions, LLC | LLC | Inc. | OpenGov, Inc. | Univerus | zLink Inc. |
| Line | | | Unit of | | | | | | | |
| Item | Description | Quantity | Measure | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total |
| 1 | First year annual fee. | 1 | Lump Sum | \$47,500.00 | \$59,900.00 | \$0.00 | \$31,815.00 | \$49,658.00 | \$50,000.00 | \$15,000.00 |
| 2 | First year training (30 days onsite). | 1 | Lump Sum | \$4,600.00 | \$48,000.00 | \$49,540.00 | \$38,315.00 | \$0.00 | \$12,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 3 | Implementation | 1 | Lump Sum | \$125,305.00 | \$219,500.00 | \$63,910.00 | \$307,960.00 | \$116,530.00 | \$49,440.00 | \$26,072.50 |
| 4 | Second year- annual fee, updates, and | | | | | | | | | |
| 4 | support | 1 | Lump Sum | \$49,875.00 | \$59,900.00 | \$60,000.00 | \$31,815.00 | \$52,140.90 | \$52,000.00 | \$28,627.08 |
| - | Third year- annual fee, updates, and | | | | | | | | | |
| 5 | support. | 1 | Lump Sum | \$52,368.75 | \$59,900.00 | \$61,500.00 | \$31,815.00 | \$54,747.95 | \$54,080.00 | \$29,772.16 |
| | Fourth year- annual fee, updates, and | | | | | | | | | |
| 6 | support. | 1 | Lump Sum | \$54,987.19 | \$59,900.00 | \$63,040.00 | \$34,360.20 | \$57,485.35 | \$56,243.20 | \$30,963.05 |
| _ | Fifth year- annual fee, updates, and | | | | | | | | | |
| 7 | support. | 1 | Lump Sum | \$57,736.55 | \$59,900.00 | \$64,620.00 | \$37,109.00 | \$60,359.62 | \$58,492.928 | \$32,201.57 |
| | Years 6 - 10 annual increase as a | | - | | | | | | | |
| 8 | percentage from the previous years | | | | | | | | | |
| | cost. | 0 | Percentage | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 | \$0.00 |
| | Total | | | \$392,372.49 | | \$362,610.00 | \$513,189.20 | \$390,921.82 | \$332,256.128 | \$164,636.36 |

Initial 5 Year Term with associated cost

| | | | ControlSquare | | Hydro-Comp | Jones Edmunds | | | |
|------|--|----------|---------------|--------------|------------------------|-----------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|
| | | | | | Enterprises USA LLC | & Associates, Inc. | OpenGov, Inc. | Univerus | zLink Inc. |
| Line | | Unit of | | | | | | | |
| Item | Description | Measure | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total | Total |
| 1 | Initial software fee. | Lump Sum | \$47,500.00 | \$128,500.00 | \$150,000.00 | \$31,815.00 | \$49,658.00 | \$0.00 | \$15,000.00 |
| | First-year onsite training (Two (2) non- | | | | | | | | |
| 2 | consecutive five (5) day training) | Lump Sum | \$4,600.00 | \$29,500.00 | \$49,540.00 | \$38,315.00 | \$0.00 | \$10,000.00 | \$2,000.00 |
| 3 | Implementation. Year 2 annual fee per year including | Lump Sum | \$125,305.00 | \$219,500.00 | \$63,910.00 | \$307,960.00 | \$116,530.00 | \$49,440.00 | \$26,072.50 |
| | updates and support. Year 3 annual fee per year including | Lump Sum | \$49,875.00 | \$41,700.00 | \$30,000.00 | \$31,815.00 | \$52,140.90 | \$52,000.00 | \$28,627.08 |
| 5 | updates and support. Year 4 annual fee per year including | Lump Sum | \$52,368.75 | \$41,700.00 | \$30,750.00 | \$31,815.00 | \$54,747.95 | \$54,080.00 | \$29,772.16 |
| 6 | updates and support. Year 5 annual fee per year including | Lump Sum | \$54,987.19 | \$41,700.00 | \$31,520.00 | \$34,360.20 | \$57,485.35 | \$56,243.20 | \$30,963.05 |
| 7 | updates and support. | Lump Sum | \$57,736.55 | \$41,700.00 | \$32,310.00 | \$37,109.00 | \$60,359.62 | \$58,492.928 | \$32,201.57 |
| | Total | | \$392,372.49 | \$544,300.00 | \$388,030.00 | \$513,189.20 | \$390,921.82 | \$280,256.128 | \$164,636.36 |