



EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. RFQ 24-ES-01

Professional Construction Management/General Contracting Services (CMAR) for a new Public Works Facility

RESPONSE DEADLINE: March 13, 2024 at 2:00 pm

Monday, April 1, 2024

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	90	100	96	95.33
Ajax Building Company, LLC	99	98	87	94.67
Scorpio.	93	93	89	91.67
W & J Construction Corp	87	99	88	91.33
The Collage Companies	83	95	88	88.67
Paul Culver Construction, Inc.	78	65	63	68.67

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Experience (CM/GC & Project Team) Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Proposer's Qualifications Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Project Approach Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Technical Response & References Points Based 20 Points (20%)
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	27.3	20	29	19
Ajax Building Company, LLC	28.7	19.3	27.3	19.3
Scorpio.	27.7	19.7	26	18.3
W & J Construction Corp	26.3	19.3	27	18.7
The Collage Companies	26	19	26	17.7
Paul Culver Construction, Inc.	16.7	16	23	13

EVALUATION TABULATION

Request for Qualifications - Professional Construction Management/General Contracting Services (CMAR) for a new Public Works Facility

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. RFQ 24-ES-01

Professional Construction Management/General Contracting Services (CMAR) for a new Public Works Facility

Vendor	Total Score (Max Score 100)
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	95.33
Ajax Building Company, LLC	94.67
Scorpio.	91.67
W & J Construction Corp	91.33
The Collage Companies	88.67
Paul Culver Construction, Inc.	68.67

CONSENSUS SCORECARD SUMMARY

Vendor	Experience (CM/GC & Project Team) Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Proposer's Qualifications Points Based 20 Points (20%)	Project Approach Points Based 30 Points (30%)	Technical Response & References Points Based 20 Points (20%)
Ajax Building Company, LLC	28.67	19.33	27.33	19.33
Paul Culver Construction, Inc.	16.67	16	23	13
Scorpio.	27.67	19.67	26	18.33
The Collage Companies	26	19	26	17.67
Wharton-Smith, Inc.	27.33	20	29	19
W & J Construction Corp	26.33	19.33	27	18.67

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. RFQ 24-ES-01

Professional Construction Management/General Contracting Services (CMAR) for a new Public Works Facility

PHASE 1

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Experience (CM/GC & Project Team)	Points Based	30 (30% of Total)

Description:

The CM/GC, an employee of the Prime Consultant, assigned to lead the day-to-day project management of the facility shall have a minimum of (ten) 10 years of project management experience for vertical construction. The CM/GC's experience shall include:

New construction, non-residential building with site work for a project similar in size and scope to the new Public Works Facility;

- Five (5) or more years of project management experience for vertical construction projects utilizing construction management or general construction delivery methods;
- Experience in providing similar projects with comparable scope:
 - Public Works facilities that have features similar to the new Public Works Facility;
 - Non-residential, multiple-building master-planned and engineered, secure compound, site development;
 - Non-residential, new construction buildings, and related site work utilizing CM/GC delivery method;
 - Fueling facilities construction;
 - Non-residential, new construction buildings, and related site work with a similar approximate cost, scope, and complexity.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Proposer's Qualifications	Points Based	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

Provide resumes detailing professional credentials, certifications, and experience for the firm and staff assigned to projects.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Project Approach	Points Based	30 (30% of Total)

Description:

- Provide in detail the firms approach and methodology as it relates to CM/GC for projects of the nature as specified in the RFQ;

EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. RFQ 24-ES-01

Professional Construction Management/General Contracting Services (CMAR) for a new Public Works Facility

- Describe the current workload of CM/GC and their proposed project team;
- Explain their internal process for ensuring the quality of completed subcontract construction work;
- Compare and contrast the firm's preconstruction cost estimate methods vs. actual subcontractor bids (Cite specific project examples);
- Describe innovative construction materials and methods from one of the firm's LEED building projects that would be of benefit to the City if utilized on the Public Works Facility project (Cite specific project examples);
- Describe the firm's job site controls regarding damage to existing infrastructure adversely affecting neighboring properties and/or occurring after business hours (Cite specific project examples);
- Describe the firm's business management practices for mitigating schedule and budget overruns (Cite specific project examples);
- Detail the firm's quality of performance on previous contracts or services, as evidenced by positive professional references.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Technical Response & References	Points Based	20 (20% of Total)

Description:

Submission of a minimum of five (5) public agency references who engaged the firm and assigned personnel for similar projects and related processes or activities. The services provided to these clients should have characteristics as similar as possible to those requested in this RFQ.