



EVALUATION TABULATION

RFQ No. RFQ 25-DS-03

Continuing Environmental Consulting Services

RESPONSE DEADLINE: June 11, 2025 at 10:00 am

Report Generated: Friday, June 27, 2025

AGGREGATE SCORES SUMMARY

Vendor	Evaluator 1	Evaluator 2	Evaluator 3	Total Score (Max Score 100)
YBE Consulting, Inc	100	100	100	100
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	96	100	100	98.67
Zev Cohen & Associates, Inc.	95	100	95	96.67
Halff Associates, Inc.	99	100	90	96.33
Dredging & Marine Consultants, LLC	94	95	95	94.67
CPH Consulting, LLC	98	90	95	94.33
Pritchett Steinbeck Group Inc.	91	90	100	93.67
Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	87	100	90	92.33
Environmental Solutions & Innovations, Inc.	91	95	90	92
Terracon Consultants, Inc.	91	95	90	92
Ecological Associates, Inc.	90	85	95	90
Miller Legg	84	83	100	89
Enercon Services, Inc	86	72	100	86
OVH Environmental Holdings LLC	88	80	90	86
Elos Environmental, LLC	83	73	95	83.67
ECT, Inc.	94	71	85	83.33
SWCA Environmental Consultants	83	75	90	82.67
ECS Limited	85	70	90	81.67
POZ Engineering & Environmental Consulting, PC	79	45	100	74.67
Bio-Tech Consulting LLC	75	50	95	73.33

VENDOR SCORES BY EVALUATION CRITERIA

Vendor	Summary of Proposer’s Qualifications Points Based 35 Points (35%)	Experience with Government Municipalities within the State of Florida and within the region. Points Based 35 Points (35%)	Recent, current and projected workloads of the firm Points Based 15 Points (15%)	Detailed Account of Five Recent Projects and Methodology - Limit of 2 pages per project Points Based 15 Points (15%)	Total Score (Max Score 100)
YBE Consulting, Inc	35	35	15	15	100
Kimley-Horn and Associates, Inc.	34.7	34.3	14.7	15	98.67
Zev Cohen & Associates, Inc.	34.7	32.7	14.7	14.7	96.67
Halff Associates, Inc.	33.3	33	15	15	96.33
Dredging & Marine Consultants, LLC	32.7	34.3	13	14.7	94.67
CPH Consulting, LLC	34.7	30	14.7	15	94.33
Pritchett Steinbeck Group Inc.	32.3	32.3	14.7	14.3	93.67
Stantec Consulting Services Inc.	32.3	32.3	13.3	14.3	92.33
Environmental Solutions & Innovations, Inc.	30.7	32.3	14.7	14.3	92
Terracon Consultants, Inc.	30.7	32.3	14.7	14.3	92
Ecological Associates, Inc.	32.3	28.7	14.7	14.3	90
Miller Legg	26.7	34	14	14.3	89
Enercon Services, Inc	30.7	28.3	12.7	14.3	86
OVH Envrionmental Holdings LLC	26.7	30.3	14.7	14.3	86
Elos Environmental, LLC	30.3	26.7	12.7	14	83.67
ECT, Inc.	31	27.7	9.7	15	83.33
SWCA Environmental Consultants	25	28.7	14.7	14.3	82.67
ECS Limited	25	28.7	13	15	81.67
POZ Engineering & Environmental Consulting, PC	26.7	27	11	10	74.67
Bio-Tech Consulting LLC	25	25	9.3	14	73.33

EVALUATION CRITERIA

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Summary of Proposer’s Qualifications	Points Based	35 (35% of Total)

Description:  
Provide a cover letter, signed by an authorized officer of the firm, indicating the underlying philosophy of the firm in providing the services stated herein. Include the name(s), telephone number(s), and email(s) of the authorized contact person(s) concerning qualification. Submission of a signed Qualification is Proposer's certification that the Proposer will accept any awards made to him as a result of said submission of the terms contained therein.

The firm should identify the individuals who will provide the services, including resume and experience in similar work as follows:

Project Team and Previous Experience

- Provide an organizational chart for the project team – including any sub consultants.
- Identify the project manager and each team member who will work on this project.
- For each individual listed above, answer the following questions. Please use a separate page for each team member.
  - A. What will be the individual’s role in the project(s)?
  - B. What is the availability of this individual to devote time to the project(s)?
  - C. How long has this individual been with the firm/project team?
  - D. Give one example of specific experience relevant to this project.
- Provide one page resume for all key personnel and sub consultants.
- Identify the number of years in business along with a brief historical summary of the firm.
- Provide past experience in providing similar consulting services to governmental entities
- Describe the team’s previous experience in environmental consulting, (one page maximum)
- Describe the team’s familiarity with the City of Edgewater.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Experience with Government Municipalities within the State of Florida and within the region.	Points Based	35 (35% of Total)

Description:  
Provide a listing of previous customers during the past three years for work of similar size and scope (minimum of five, maximum of eight). The services provided to these clients should have characteristics as similar as possible to those requested in this RFQ.

Information provided for each client shall include the following:

- Client name, address, and current telephone number.
- Description of services provided.
- Time period of the project or contract.
- Client’s contact reference name and current telephone number.

The City reserves the right to contact any and all references to obtain, with limitation, ratings for the following performance indicators includes:

- On a scale of 1-10, with 1 being very dissatisfied and ten exceeding your every expectation, how satisfied were you with the firm’s performance?
- What specifically did you like about their approach?
- What do you believe were shortcomings or that they could have done better?
- Did they meet your schedule requirements?

- How were their communications? Were you always kept in the loop?
- How responsive were they in addressing problems with the project?
- How was the quality/experience/personality of their personnel? (Specifically the project manager?)
- Would you use this firm again?

A uniform sample of references will be checked for each Proposer. Proposers will be scored on a scale of 1 to 10, with 10 being the highest possible score. This score will also be used in determining the score to be given to the “past performance” evaluation factor for each qualification.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Recent, current and projected workloads of the firm	Points Based	15 <i>(15% of Total)</i>

Description:  
The qualification should state the size of the firm, the number and nature of the professional staff to be employed in this engagement on a full-time basis, and the number and nature of the staff to be so employed on a part-time basis.

Criteria	Scoring Method	Weight (Points)
Detailed Account of Five Recent Projects and Methodology - Limit of 2 pages per project	Points Based	15 <i>(15% of Total)</i>

Description:  
Provide details of Five recent projects (last 3 years) of similar size and scope your firm has completed. Include your approach and methodology in accomplishing your assigned tasked.